


Attorney Docket No.
10111395

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 – EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3679



AF
ywu

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Corres. and Mail

BOX AF

Applicant: Cheng Chung WANG

Filed: 10/30/2003

Appl. No.: 10/696,794

Examiner: Hewitt, James M

Conf. No.: 8106

Art Unit: 3679

Title: INFLATABLE PRODUCT PROVIDED WITH ELECTRIC AIR PUMP

Date: January 5, 2006

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE COVER SHEET

Sir:

Attached hereto please find a response to the Office Action mailed on October 5, 2005.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. If, however, the Commissioner considers that a fee is due in connection with the filing of this paper, authorization is made to charge any fee which may be required to Deposit Account No. 502447.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office to (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:


Nelson A. Quintero
(Name of Person Transmitting)

(Signature)

January 5, 2006

(Date)


Respectfully submitted,



Nelson A. Quintero

Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 52,143
Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180



Attorney Docket No. 10111395

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 – EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3679

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Cheng Chung WANG

Filed: 10/30/2003

Appl. No.: 10/696,794

Examiner: Hewitt, James M

Conf. No.: 8106

Art Unit: 3679

Title: INFLATABLE PRODUCT PROVIDED WITH ELECTRIC AIR PUMP

Date: January 5, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on October 5, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified application be reconsidered in view of the remarks which follow, that each of the pending claims be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

REMARKS

Present Status of Application

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kanzler (US 4,941,221). Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grudzinskas (US 4,619,481). Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilhoit (US 5,771,514). Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Collymore (US 6,298,511) in view of Wilhoit (US 5,771,514). Claims 2-5 are withdrawn from consideration.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.